



Proskauer Rose LLP Eleven Times Square New York, NY 10036-8299

April 23, 2025

Bradley I. Ruskin
Member of the Firm
d +1.212.969.3465
f 212.969.2900
bruskin@proskauer.com
www.proskauer.com

BY ECF

The Honorable Margaret M. Garnett
United States District Judge
40 Foley Square, Room 2102
New York, NY 10007

**Re: *Pospisil, et al. v. ATP Tour, Inc., et al.*, No. 1:25-cv-02207-MMG (S.D.N.Y.)
Request for Adjournment of Initial Pretrial Conference**

Dear Judge Garnett:

We represent Defendant ATP Tour, Inc. in this case. We write jointly on behalf of all Defendants to request the Court's consideration of a brief adjournment of the Initial Pretrial Conference, currently scheduled for May 8, 2025, until after Defendants file their responses to Plaintiffs' complaint.

After the Initial Pretrial Conference was scheduled (ECF No. 16), Defendants moved—with Plaintiffs' consent—for an extension of time to answer or otherwise respond to the complaint, noting that the proposed approach “would be efficient for all and for the Court.” (ECF No. 51). The Court subsequently granted that request, and extended the deadline to answer, move, or otherwise respond to the complaint until May 20, 2025, with briefing on any motions concluding on August 21, 2025. (ECF Nos. 54-55). Thereafter, on April 11, 2025, the Court held an evidentiary hearing during which time Plaintiffs and ATP Tour, Inc. presented, *inter alia*, background information regarding the matter and certain claims.

Defendants currently are preparing and investigating responses to the complaint, and believe those efforts will inform our collective understanding of the scope and cadence of appropriate discovery and other matters to be discussed at the Initial Pretrial Conference. Accordingly, we respectfully submit that adjourning the May 8, 2025 conference until June 19, 2025 or later, will allow the parties to have a more productive and efficient Initial Pretrial Conference and Rule 26(f) conference (which is to occur at least 21 days before the Initial Pretrial Conference).

Plaintiffs object to this request. This is the first request for an adjournment of the Initial Pretrial Conference.

Other than sufficiency of service of the complaint, Defendants continue to expressly preserve all other defenses or objections, including those based on lack of jurisdiction.



Judge Garnett
April 23, 2025
Page 2

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Bradley I. Ruskin
Bradley I. Ruskin
PROSKAUER ROSE LLP
Eleven Times Square
New York, NY 10036
Tel: (212) 969-3000
bruskin@proskauer.com

Counsel for Defendant ATP Tour, Inc.

cc: All counsel of record